

Core Fixed Income Commentary

June 30, 2021

MARKET REVIEW

As 2Q21 drew to a close, the capital markets seemed to be wavering on the global reflation trade that had dominated the better part of the previous two quarters. To be sure, positioning in trades based on a pro-cyclical, economic reopening was a crowded one and perhaps investors wanted to take some profits by scaling back as summer approached.

The Federal Reserve [Fed] might have given investors another reason to fade the reflation trade as it seemingly communicated a potential policy shift at the conclusion of the June 16 FOMC meeting. In August of 2020, the Fed unveiled its Average Inflation Targeting regime whereby it would allow inflation to average 2% over long periods of time. This would enable the Fed to allow inflation to overshoot its 2% target for a period of time, following periods of undershooting the target. In essence, the Fed would allow the economy and inflation to run hot over a period of time. Since August of last year, Fed speakers had consistently communicated their reaction function as being focused on returning the labor market to pre-COVID levels and that any inflation would be seen as transitory. After the June 16 FOMC meeting, Fed Chairman Jerome Powell indicated that the Fed was now much closer to meeting its employment goals and inflation goals. Furthermore, the infamous “Dot Plot” now indicated that 13 of 18 FOMC members thought rate hikes would begin no later than 2023. Additionally, seven out of 18 thought they would begin no later than 2022. So much for the irresponsibly dovish Fed!

At this point, the capital markets are considering just how soon the Fed will remove its ultra-easy monetary policy that has fueled the reflationary trade. Although equities did turn in a respectable 2Q performance with the S&P 500® Index up 8.5%, the small cap Russell 2000® Index lagged large cap performance and was up just 4.3%. Growth stocks also outperformed value stocks during the quarter, perhaps an indication that investors believe the Fed will take away the punch bowl soon and growth will once again become scarce in the U.S. The domestic bond market also rallied during the quarter—providing low, but positive, returns.

PORTFOLIO REVIEW

The Core Composite returned 2.21%, net of fees, for the quarter ending June 30, 2021. The Composite’s benchmark, the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate, returned 1.83% during the same period.

The portfolio’s shorter duration relative to the benchmark was a negative contributor to performance as interest rates declined in longer dated Treasuries.

The portfolio’s allocation and selection of corporate bonds and preferred securities were positive contributors to relative performance during the quarter as these two sectors continue to provide some yield in a yield-starved market.

The allocation to the securitized sector was a slight detractor from performance.

STRATEGY INFORMATION

Benchmark	Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Index
Business Minimum	\$15M
Number of Holdings	271
Assets	\$204.50M

PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT TEAM



Michael J. Martin, CFA

Senior Portfolio Manager
 Industry start date: 1994
 Joined Red Cedar: 2018



David L. Withrow, CFA

Director of Portfolio Management
 Industry start date: 1988
 Joined Red Cedar: 2018



John L. Cassady III, CFA

Chief Investment Officer
 Industry start date: 1987
 Joined Red Cedar: 2018



Jason M. Schwartz, CFA

Senior Portfolio Manager
 Industry start date: 2004
 Joined Red Cedar: 2018



INVESTMENT PHILOSOPHY

“We seek consistent income across the capital structure and in high quality companies.”

— Michael Martin

Core Fixed Income Commentary

June 30, 2021

STRATEGY POSITION & OUTLOOK

Financial markets continued to respond positively to higher levels of vaccine distributions and the reopening of developed economies. Inflation concerns remained at the forefront of the markets. At the beginning of the period, the Fed signaled it would allow the economy to run “hotter for longer.” By the end of the quarter, that signal changed to a less accommodative tone, and risk markets responded by reversing the reflationary trade that had characterized much of the first half of the year.

The insatiable demand for yield continued to support the corporate bond market. Corporate bond credit spreads (the difference between the yield on a corporate bond and a Treasury security) continued to trend lower during the quarter. Investment grade spreads reached a low of 86 basis points in June, while the low in high yield spreads was 302 basis points.

The portfolio’s duration is lower than the benchmark although it increased slightly during the quarter after the Fed changed its accommodative tone. Interest rates are expected to continue their ascent later in the year.

The portfolio maintained its overweight to corporate bonds compared to the benchmark because of the yield they provide relative to Treasury securities. However, credit spreads at current levels are tight, so the overweight is at the lower end of the strategy’s range. The portfolio will view market weakness as an opportunity to add exposure.

The portfolio continued to overweight the financial, metals & mining, and energy sectors to take advantage of expected stronger economic demand resulting from government stimulus and reopenings.

U.S. investment grade corporate bond issuance was \$381 billion in the second quarter of 2021. Year-to-date issuance was \$831 billion, down 32% from 1H20.

DISCLOSURES


*All end-of-year index data noted is as of 6/30/21.

Red Cedar Investment Management is an investment advisor registered under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940. Registration as an investment advisor does not imply any level of skill or training. The information is current as of the date this presentation and is subject to change at any time, based on market and other conditions. Although taken from reliable sources, Red Cedar Investment Management cannot guarantee the accuracy of information received from third parties. There is no guarantee that these objectives will be achieved. This presentation is a general communication and educational in nature. This presentation is for informational purposes only and is not designed to be a recommendation of any specific investment product, strategy, plan design or for any other purpose. By providing this presentation, none of Red Cedar Investment Management or its employees has the responsibility or authority to provide or has provided impartial investment advice. This presentation does not suggest taking or refraining from any course of action. In addition, this presentation should not be viewed as an investment recommendation because it is provided as part of the general marketing and advertising of Red Cedar Investment Management.

The mention of specific securities and sectors illustrates the application of our investment approach only and is not to be considered a recommendation by Red Cedar. The specific securities identified and described above do not represent all of the securities purchased and sold for the portfolio, and it should not be assumed that investment in these securities were or will be profitable. There is no assurance that the securities purchased remain in the portfolio or that securities sold have not been repurchased.

The opinions expressed herein are those of Red Cedar and may not actually come to pass. Charts, diagrams and graphs, by themselves, cannot be used to make investment decisions. The rankings and/or awards listed may not be representative of any one client’s experience because of the way in which the rankings and awards are calculated. The rankings and awards are independently granted. The rankings and awards are not indicative of RCIM’s future performance, or any future performance pertaining to its clients’ investments.

PERFORMANCE



Period	Composite Gross	Composite Net	Index*
2Q2021	2.27%	2.21%	1.83%
YTD	-0.61%	-0.72%	-1.60%
1 Year	2.33%	2.10%	-0.33%
3 Year	5.68%	5.47%	5.34%
5 Year	3.63%	3.41%	3.03%
ITD	3.68%	3.45%	3.35%

Inception Date 8/1/2014

Periods greater than 12 months are annualized

*Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Index

Red Cedar Investment Management, LLC claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®). To receive a list of composite descriptions and/or a presentation that complies with the GIPS standard, contact Red Cedar Investment Management at (513) 345-4414.

Red Cedar Investment Management LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser with the U.S. Securities Exchange Commission under the 1940 Act, founded in 2013 as a Limited Liability Corporation in the State of Michigan.

These rankings and awards or any other recognition by unaffiliated rating services and/or publications should not be construed as a guarantee that a client will experience a certain level of results or satisfaction if RCIM is engaged, nor should it be construed as a current or past endorsement by any of its clients. Index performance used throughout this presentation is intended to illustrate historical market trends and is provided solely as a representative of the general market performance for the same period of time. Indices are unmanaged, may not include the reinvestment of income or short positions, and do not incur investment management fees. An investor is unable to invest in an index

Index Definitions: Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index: Broad-based, market capitalization-weighted bond market index representing intermediate term investment grade bonds traded in the U.S. Russell 2000 Index: Measures the performance of the 2,000 smaller companies that are included in the Russell 3000 Index, which is made up of nearly all U.S. stocks. Russell 3000 Value Index: A market-capitalization weighted equity index based on the Russell 3000 Index which measures how U.S. stocks in the equity value segment perform by including only value stocks. Russell 3000 Growth Index: A market-capitalization weighted equity index based on the Russell 3000 Index which includes companies that display signs of above-average growth. Used to provide a gauge of the performance of growth stocks in the United States. S&P 500 Index: A market-capitalization weighted index of the 500 largest publicly-traded companies in the U.S.

The performance shown may not reflect a Red Cedar portfolio. The performance characteristics shown are taken from a representative or model account. Net performance shown reflects the reduction of management fees. A client’s return will be reduced by the management fees and any other expenses incurred in the management of its account. For example, a US \$100 million account, paying a .50% annual fee, with a given rate of 10% compounded over a 10-year period would result in a net of fee return of 9.5%. Investment advisory fees are described in Part 2A of Red Cedar Investment Management, LLC Form ADV.